



STUDY GUIDE

EKMUN 2025

ECOFIN

Topic A: Youth Unemployment and the Role of Education-Finance Policies

Topic B: The Financial Burden of Refugee Crises on the Global Economy



Table Of Contents

- **Letter from the Secretary General**
- **Letter from the Under Secretary-General**
- **Introduction to Committee**
 - 3.1 Scope and Mandate of Committee
 - 3.2 Historical Background
 - 3.3 Current Relevance and Global Role
 - 3.4 Key Issues & Challenges
- **Key Terms and Definitions**
- **Introduction to Topic A**
 - 5.1 Introduction
 - 5.2 Historical Background of Youth Unemployment
 - 5.3 Current Relevance
 - 5.4 Key Issues
 - 5.5 The Link between Youth Unemployment and Migration/Brain Drain
 - 5.6 Importance for MEDCs and LEDCs
 - 5.7 Research Points and Key Indicators
 - 5.8 Country Stances
 - 5.9 Questions to Ponder
- **Introduction to Topic B**
 - 6.1 Introduction
 - 6.2 Historical Background of Refugee Crises
 - 6.3 Current Relevance
 - 6.4 Key Issues
 - 6.5 Importance for MEDCs and LEDCs
 - 6.6- Key Stakeholders & Interests
 - 6.7 Financial Mechanisms & Case Studies
 - 6.8 Previous International Actions
 - 6.9 Debate Dimensions & Ethical Dilemmas
 - 6.10 Questions to Ponder

Bibliography

Dear Delegates,

As the Secretary-General of EKMUN'2025, it is my greatest honor to welcome you to this year's conference. Soon, we will come together to engage in discussions that mirror the complexity and importance of real-world diplomacy. Each one of you brings a unique perspective, a distinct voice, and an ambition to create change and it is exactly this diversity that makes Model United Nations such a powerful experience.

Over the upcoming days, you will find yourself not only debating international matters but also stepping into the shoes of world leaders, policymakers, and diplomats. The preparation, dedication, and curiosity you bring to this conference will shape not just your experience, but the overall atmosphere of cooperation and respect that defines EKMUN'2025

Throughout this conference, you will not only have the opportunity to discuss global issues but also to develop essential skills such as critical thinking, teamwork, and negotiation. Remember that Model United Nations is not just about reaching resolutions it is about understanding perspectives, fostering respect, and finding common ground among differences.

I strongly encourage each one of you to be bold, respectful, and solution-oriented during your sessions. Let this conference be a place where your voices are heard, and your ideas make an impact.

I wish you all productive debates and unforgettable memories.

Warm regards,

Ayliz Çolak

Secretary-General of EKMUN'2025

Dear Delegates,

It is my great pleasure to welcome you all to the ECOFIN committee at EKMUN'25.

Whether this is your first MUN or one of many, I hope you will find in this committee not only an arena for intellectual debate, but also a space for collaboration, creativity and discovery.

ECOFIN represents the space where financial policy becomes a tool not just for growth, but for equity, stability and hope. This year's agenda reflects the nature of our world. Our themes are not abstract matters of policy, they concern real people, real futures and the shared responsibility we hold as global citizens. Beyond their complexity, these issues also invite hope: the hope that through cooperation and innovation, even the most challenging global problems can be reimaged. Your role as delegates will be to think critically about how to design solutions to ensure that opportunity is not a privilege, but a right.

Still, MUN is more than an academic simulation. It is a place to connect, to meet people who see the world differently, to listen, to laugh and to learn from one another. The best committees are not only those that pass well-written resolutions but those that foster friendships and ignite curiosity. Debate passionately but also take a moment to appreciate the experience itself; the diversity of perspectives and the shared sense of purpose that brings you all together.

I encourage you all to approach this conference with both ambition and consideration. Let research ground your arguments but let empathy guide your actions. Be bold in your ideas, respectful in your disagreements, and inclusive in your negotiations.

I hope you leave this committee inspired by the power of dialogue itself. The true success of a committee lies in the shared growth that comes from engaging thoughtfully and openly.

I wish you insightful debates and unforgettable memories throughout this conference.

Warm regards,

Ahsen Tapsız

Under Secretary-General of ECOFIN

- **Introduction to Committee**

3.1 Scope and Mandate of the Committee

The Economic and Financial Committee (ECOFIN) corresponds to the Second Committee of the United Nations General Assembly, which deals with economic growth, financial issues, and sustainable development. It deals with global economic stability, sustainable development, financing mechanisms, international trade, and the reduction of economic inequality among nations.

Within Model United Nations, ECOFIN encourages delegates to explore how economic policies can address global challenges such as unemployment, debt crises, sustainable development financing, and inclusive growth. The committee aims to design actionable solutions that balance the interests of both developed and developing countries.

In EKMUN'25, delegates of the ECOFIN committee are expected to focus on youth unemployment and the financial burden of refugee crises on the global economy, two of the most urgent economic and social challenges worldwide, and the role of education-finance policies in ensuring sustainable job creation, equitable growth, and long-term resilience.

3.2 Historical Background

The roots of today's youth unemployment and refugee-related financial challenges lie in postwar demographic and economic shifts.

After World War II, rapid population growth—particularly in newly decolonized states—led to a surge of young people entering labor markets that lacked the capacity to absorb them. During the 1990s, globalization and technological advancement transformed production systems, reducing low-skilled employment opportunities in developed economies while developing nations struggled with limited industrial infrastructure and educational alignment.

The 2008 Global Financial Crisis further intensified youth unemployment, with rates exceeding 40% in some European countries. Fiscal austerity and limited access to credit particularly harmed young job seekers and small entrepreneurs. More recently, the COVID-19 pandemic disrupted service sectors such as tourism, retail, and hospitality—industries employing a large share of youth and refugees. The combined effect of these crises has been long-term labor market instability, declining educational access, and rising migration pressures, which together have increased the economic burden on both host and sending countries.

3.3 Current Relevance & Global Role

In the current global economy, youth unemployment and the financial burden of refugee crises represent two interconnected threats to sustainable development. More than 70 million young people remain unemployed worldwide (ILO, 2023), largely due to mismatches between education systems and labor market needs. Many curricula still emphasize theoretical learning rather than practical skills in technology, entrepreneurship, and green innovation. At the same time, limited access to financing—through loans, investment, or public programs—restricts youth-led economic growth.

Meanwhile, over 120 million individuals are currently displaced, with most hosted by developing or middle-income countries such as Türkiye, Jordan, and Pakistan. The cost of providing education, health care and housing for refugees strains national budgets, often diverting funds from youth employment initiatives and broader development efforts. In developed economies, refugee integration remains politically sensitive and financially complex, further highlighting the need for international coordination.

These two crises reinforce one another: high youth unemployment can drive migration, while the fiscal weight of refugee management can limit a nation's capacity to invest in its young population. ECOFIN thus plays a key role in promoting sustainable financing mechanisms, including global youth employment funds, concessional loans, and public-private partnerships. By aligning education-finance reforms with inclusive economic policies, the international community can strengthen labor markets, share financial

burdens more equitably, and advance the goals of SDG 4 (Quality Education) and SDG 8 (Decent Work and Economic Growth).

3.4 Key Issues & Challenges

The global economy faces overlapping structural and financial barriers that exacerbate both youth unemployment and the fiscal strain of refugee crises. These challenges are rooted in systemic inequalities in education, access to finance, and international burden-sharing, all of which require coordinated multilateral responses under ECOFIN's mandate.

- **Education–Labor Market Mismatch:**

A major cause of youth unemployment is the persistent gap between the skills taught in schools and those demanded by modern labor markets. Many education systems remain overly theoretical, offering limited training in digital competencies, vocational skills, and entrepreneurial thinking. This mismatch leaves millions of young people

unprepared for employment opportunities in emerging sectors such as green energy, technology, and sustainable industry.

- **Financial Barriers and Inequality of Opportunity:**

Young individuals and small enterprises frequently face limited access to credit, investment capital, and employment incentives. In low- and middle- income countries, underdeveloped financial systems and insufficient public spending on education hinder social mobility. Gender disparities and discrimination further deepen inequality, leaving young women and marginalized groups at a greater disadvantage.

- **Fiscal Pressure on Host States:**

Countries hosting large refugee populations experience rising expenditures on healthcare, education, and social welfare. For many LEDCs, this diverts resources away from domestic youth employment and development programs, worsening existing economic disparities. Even in MEDCs, political constraints often limit fiscal flexibility, causing tension between humanitarian obligations and domestic priorities.

- **Migration, Brain Drain, and Labor Displacement:**

High youth unemployment acts as a push factor for migration, while the outflow of skilled labor—often referred to as “brain drain” —weakens the human capital base of developing countries. Simultaneously, the inflow of refugees and migrants can place additional strain on labor markets if integration systems are underfunded or poorly coordinated. This dynamic reinforces inequality between sending and receiving nations.

- **Long-Term Economic Scarring:**

Extended periods of youth unemployment or underemployment lead to lasting reductions in lifetime earnings, productivity, and pension security. For economies already burdened by refugee-related costs, this represents a compounding challenge: short-term fiscal stress undermines long-term growth potential. Without sustained investment in human capital and education-finance reform, both crises risk becoming self-perpetuating.

In essence, these issues highlight the need for comprehensive solutions that address the roots of economic exclusion. ECOFIN member states are thus called to explore innovative financial mechanisms, promote equitable education systems, and ensure that the costs of global displacement and labor transitions are shared more fairly across the international community.

- **Key Words and Definitions**

Term	Definition
ECOFIN	The Economic and Financial Affairs Council, a UN Body addressing international economic and financial issues.
Youth Unemployment	Individuals aged 15–24 able and willing to work but unable to find employment.
NEET	Acronym for “Not in Education, Employment, or Training.”
MEDCs / LEDCs	More / Less Economically Developed Countries.
Brain Drain	Emigration of educated or skilled professionals to developed states.
Term	Definition
Remittances	Funds sent home by migrants, supporting domestic economies.
Human Capital	Collective skills and knowledge contributing to economic productivity.
SDG	UN Sustainable Development Goals (17 global targets for 2030).
Vocational Training	Practical education focused on specific skills and trades.
Skill Mismatch	Disparity between workforce skills and labor market needs.
Underemployment	Employment below one’s skill level or involuntary part-time work.
Microfinance	Small-scale financial services for low-income entrepreneurs.
Circular Migration	Repetitive movement of workers between home and host countries.

Public–Private Partnership (PPP)

Collaboration between government and private sector for development projects.

Labor Mobility	Ease with which workers move between jobs or countries.
Entrepreneurship	Creation and management of new business ventures.
Concessional Loans	Below-market interest loans offered for development purposes.
Apprenticeship	On-the-job training combined with formal instruction.
Digital Literacy	Ability to use digital tools and technologies effectively.
Green Jobs	Employment that supports environmental sustainability.
Economic Diversification	Expansion of economic activities to reduce sector dependency.

Term	Definition
-------------	-------------------

Vocational Education and Training (VET)

Structured programs preparing students for specific occupations.

Entrepreneurship Finance	Microloans and investment funds targeting youth-led enterprises.
Education-Finance Policy	Public funding strategies linking education with economic objectives.
Brain Gain	Return or inflow of skilled professionals to a country.

Refugee / Asylum Seeker / IDP

People displaced by conflict or persecution; IDPs remain within their own country.

Host Country	State receiving and supporting refugees or migrants.
Burden-Sharing	Fair distribution of financial and humanitarian responsibilities.

Humanitarian Aid / Development Aid

Debt-for-Development Swap

Short-term relief vs long-term capacity-building support.

Debt forgiveness exchanged for investment in education or infrastructure.

Integration Policy	Measures to include refugees in economic and social systems.
---------------------------	--

Fiscal Burden	Strain on national budgets from increased public spending.
----------------------	--

Impact Investment	Capital deployed for both social impact and financial return.
--------------------------	---

Global Compact on Refugees (GCR)

UN framework promoting predictable funding and responsibility-sharing.

Youth Bulge	Demographic trend with a large youth population share.
--------------------	--

• Introduction to Topic A: Youth Unemployment and the Role of Education- Finance Policies

5.1 Introduction

Youth unemployment stands as one of the most urgent and complex socioeconomic issues confronting the global economy. It is not merely an employment challenge, but a multidimensional problem shaped by structural inequalities in education, access to finance, and economic opportunity. Defined by the International Labor Organization (ILO) as the situation in which individuals aged 15–24 are willing and able to work but cannot find appropriate employment, youth unemployment represents a critical barrier to inclusive and sustainable growth. Its persistence threatens to erode human potential, diminish productivity, and undermine long-term economic stability.

At the heart of this issue lies the interplay between education systems and financial policy frameworks. Education is a powerful determinant of employability, productivity, and innovation. However, many national education systems remain disconnected from evolving labor market demands. Curricula often emphasize theoretical learning over technical, vocational, or digital skills, leaving young people ill-equipped to navigate increasingly complex and technology-driven economies. This “skills mismatch”

contributes directly to structural unemployment, especially in economies transitioning toward automation, artificial intelligence, and green industries.

The financial dimension of youth unemployment is equally significant. Access to funding, whether through student loans, public investment in training, or credit for young entrepreneurs, is unevenly distributed across and within countries. In many low- and middle-income economies, limited education budgets and weak financial institutions restrict opportunities for human capital development. Even in high-income nations, youth often struggle with student debt, unstable employment, and housing insecurity, reflecting broader systemic weaknesses in financial inclusion. As a result, millions of young people find themselves trapped in cycles of underemployment, informality, or economic inactivity.

Beyond its economic implications, youth unemployment carries profound social and political consequences. Prolonged joblessness increases vulnerability to poverty, marginalization, and social unrest. Disillusioned youth populations may lose confidence in institutions, leading to declining civic participation or, in some contexts, political instability. The global nature of the problem further complicates responses: while some regions experience rapid population growth and youth surges, others face labor shortages due to aging populations. This demographic imbalance intensifies migratory flows and, in some cases, fuels “brain drain,” where skilled young workers emigrate in search of opportunity elsewhere.

For the Economic and Financial Affairs Council (ECOFIN), youth unemployment represents both a challenge and an opportunity. It is a challenge because it directly undermines global economic growth, fiscal sustainability, and the achievement of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)—notably SDG 4: Quality Education and SDG 8: Decent Work and Economic Growth. Yet, it also offers an opportunity for states to reimagine policy cooperation: integrating education reform, financial inclusion, and labor market resilience into a cohesive global strategy. ECOFIN’s mandate positions it uniquely to address the financial and structural dimensions of youth unemployment by promoting multilateral initiatives, supporting inclusive financing mechanisms, and guiding investment toward human capital development.

In essence, the future of global prosperity depends on how effectively the international community empowers its youth. Education and finance—when strategically aligned—form the backbone of sustainable employment, innovation, and economic growth. As the world confronts overlapping crises of inequality, digital transition, and demographic change, the question before ECOFIN is not whether nations can afford to invest in their youth, but whether they can afford not to.

5.2 Historical Background of Youth Unemployment

Following World War II, rapid population growth and postcolonial transitions created large youth cohorts seeking employment, particularly across Asia, Africa, and Latin America. While industrialized nations expanded their labor markets during reconstruction, newly decolonized economies struggled to create sufficient jobs for their young populations.

The 1990s brought globalization and technological advancement, reshaping global labor markets: high-income countries began outsourcing low-skilled labor, while developing nations lacked the industrial or technological capacity to absorb their workforce effectively.

The 2008 Global Financial Crisis marked a turning point. Youth unemployment surged globally, exceeding 40% in several European states — especially Greece, Spain, and Italy — where austerity policies led to public spending cuts and a collapse in entry-level job creation. These fiscal measures disproportionately harmed young workers, revealing structural weaknesses in both education systems and labor markets.

The COVID-19 pandemic (2020) deepened this crisis, as service sectors like tourism, retail, and hospitality — major youth employers — collapsed. Recovery has been slower for young workers than for adults, illustrating how cyclical shocks exacerbate pre-existing vulnerabilities in education and employment systems.

In recent years, international frameworks such as the UN Youth 2030 Strategy and the ILO Global Initiative on Decent Jobs for Youth have sought to promote coordinated action among governments, businesses, and educational institutions. These programs emphasize vocational training, digital skill development, and financial inclusion as key pathways to reduce youth unemployment and strengthen human capital worldwide.

5.3 Current Relevance

Today, the global youth population faces unprecedented uncertainty. Automation, artificial intelligence, and the transition toward green economies are transforming the nature of work. Many education systems continue to emphasize theoretical content over digital, technical, and entrepreneurial skills—creating a “skills mismatch” that leaves millions unprepared for emerging industries.

At the same time, financial barriers remain a major constraint. In many developing countries, limited public investment in education and training prevents effective skill development. Young entrepreneurs face obstacles in accessing credit and investment due to weak financial infrastructure and limited collateral. These issues are compounded by global demographic trends: while developing countries experience a youth bulge, advanced economies are aging rapidly, creating both challenges and opportunities for global labor mobility.

ECOFIN's role is crucial in fostering international financial cooperation, designing education-finance frameworks, and supporting multilateral funding mechanisms to promote youth employment and sustainable growth.

5.4 Key Issues

The challenges of youth unemployment are multifaceted.

First, skill mismatch remains widespread as many schools and universities fail to equip students with practical, market-relevant skills. Underemployment and informality persist, especially in LEDCs, where even employed youth often work in precarious, low-wage sectors. Financial exclusion—the inability of young people to access loans, investment, or business support—further hinders innovation and entrepreneurship.

Gender inequality also amplifies the issue, with young women facing greater barriers to education, employment, and credit. Moreover, long-term unemployment leaves economic scars, including lower lifetime earnings and reduced productivity. Together, these challenges undermine economic resilience and slow progress toward sustainable development.

5.5 The Link between Youth Unemployment and Migration/Brain Drain

Youth unemployment acts as a key driver of migration. In regions where economic opportunities are limited, young people often seek better prospects abroad, resulting in large-scale emigration. This creates the phenomenon of “brain drain”, where highly educated youth—such as engineers, doctors, and researchers—leave their home countries, depriving them of the human capital necessary for growth.

While remittances sent back home contribute positively to family incomes and local economies, the long-term loss of talent can outweigh these short-term benefits. For example, Southern Europe witnessed a massive outflow of skilled youth following the 2008 crisis, while Africa and South Asia continue to experience persistent brain drain toward Europe and North America.

ECOFIN can play a vital role in supporting circular migration schemes, returnee programs, and joint training initiatives between sending and receiving countries, ensuring that migration becomes a mutually beneficial process rather than a one-sided loss.

5.6 Importance for MEDCs and LEDCs

For MEDCs (More Economically Developed Countries), youth unemployment presents a paradox. While many economies struggle with aging populations and labor shortages, large numbers of young people remain unemployed or underemployed due to

qualification mismatches and weak job integration policies. Additionally, the political debate around migration often complicates the inclusion of foreign youth into domestic labor markets.

For LEDCs (Less Economically Developed Countries), the challenge is more structural. Limited industrial capacity, underfunded education systems, and unstable labor markets hinder job creation. As a result, youth unemployment fuels emigration, contributing to brain drain and slowing national development. Both MEDCs and LEDCs share an interest in improving international labor mobility frameworks, reforming education financing, and strengthening vocational and digital training to prepare youth for the demands of a changing economy.

5.7 Research Points and Key Indicators

Delegates are encouraged to examine:

Youth unemployment rates (ILO, World Bank) NEET rates – youth Not in Education, Employment, or Training Education expenditure as a percentage of GDP (UNESCO, OECD) Access to credit and financing for young entrepreneurs (World Bank Doing Business Reports) Migration and remittance statistics (UN DESA, IOM) Skill gap reports and digital readiness indices these indicators will help assess the effectiveness of education-finance policies and identify priority areas for reform.

5.8. Country Stances

Country	General Position	Country Stance
United States	Supports private-sector innovation and education reform	The U.S. emphasizes entrepreneurship and innovation-driven employment, supporting digital skills and STEM education through public-private partnerships. Washington advocates for global financial mechanisms to improve youth access to credit, while maintaining national control over education policy.
United Kingdom	Focuses on vocational education and global cooperation	The UK supports vocational training and apprenticeships to address skill mismatches. It promotes international cooperation through the Commonwealth and IMF

		to expand youth employment funding and digital education across developing economies.
France	Prioritizes EU-led education-finance coordination	France underlines the link between education and labor market reform in the EU, advocating for an expansion of Erasmus+ and coordinated financing for youth start-ups, especially in Africa and the Mediterranean.
Germany	Promotes technical education and apprenticeships	Germany views its dual-education system as a model and promotes similar structures abroad through OECD and World Bank programs. It supports concessional financing for youth-led enterprises in developing economies.
Italy	Focuses on youth unemployment in Southern Europe	Italy prioritizes combating youth joblessness caused by the 2008 crisis, emphasizing EU cohesion funding and cooperation with the private sector to modernize education and vocational programs.
Spain	Supports education-finance reform through EU funding	Spain advocates for expanding EU recovery funds to create sustainable youth employment, linking green and digital transitions to job creation.
Sweden	Supports social equity and sustainable employment	Sweden promotes inclusive education-finance models, ensuring equal access for women and migrants. It supports increased UN and EU investment in education for developing countries.
Turkey	Focuses on bridging education gaps and regional employment	Turkey emphasizes the need to align education with market needs and reduce youth unemployment through

		entrepreneurship training and regional development programs, especially in partnership with international lenders.
Russia	Supports state-driven employment programs	Russia advocates for government-led education reforms and job creation schemes, emphasizing sovereignty and limited IMF involvement. It supports bilateral cooperation in vocational training with allied states.
China	Prioritizes skill-based education and infrastructure investment	China promotes technical education and state-backed financing for youth entrepreneurs, especially in the Global South through Belt and Road educational partnerships.
India	Seeks international funding for education reform	India supports UN and World Bank initiatives that fund skill-based education and microcredit access for youth, viewing education-finance policy as key to achieving SDG 8.
Japan	Emphasizes technology-based job creation	Japan promotes education policies focused on AI, robotics, and innovation. It supports OECD cooperation to finance digital learning and regional youth employment programs in Asia.
South Korea	Focuses on digital and green economy skills	South Korea supports international collaboration on youth upskilling and entrepreneurship, promoting digital education and green job creation through sustainable finance.
Nigeria	Seeks financial aid for education and job creation	Nigeria advocates for international assistance to expand vocational education and create youth employment, calling for

		debt relief and global youth investment funds.
South Africa	Focuses on reducing inequality and unemployment	South Africa supports IMF and UN funding for education-finance initiatives aimed at marginalized youth, promoting sustainable employment through industrial diversification.
Egypt	Prioritizes vocational training and regional cooperation	Egypt calls for enhanced access to World Bank education loans and supports regional programs targeting youth unemployment in North Africa.
Brazil	Advocates inclusive education-finance reform	Brazil emphasizes public investment in education and start-up funding, calling for fairer IMF lending terms to support developing economies.
Mexico	Supports cooperation between education and business sectors	Mexico promotes regional trade-based employment and calls for youth-targeted education loans to enhance competitiveness in the Latin American market.
Canada	Promotes global education initiatives	Canada funds youth employment and education programs through UNESCO and advocates for gender-balanced access to education-finance resources.
Australia	Focuses on Asia-Pacific cooperation and digital literacy	Australia supports education-finance partnerships in the Indo-Pacific and promotes international scholarships and microfinance for youth entrepreneurship.

5.9 Questions to Ponder

- How can education systems better align with evolving labor market demands?
 - What financial models most effectively support youth entrepreneurship in developing economies?
 - How can states balance the benefits of remittances with the long-term risks of brain drain?
 - Should MEDCs facilitate greater youth migration to address demographic decline, and if so, how can this be managed equitably?
 - What role should ECOFIN play in coordinating international funding for youth employment and education reform?
- **Introduction to Topic B: The Financial Burden of Refugee Crises on the Global Economy**

6.1 Introduction

In the 21st century, forced displacement has become one of the defining humanitarian and economic challenges of global governance. As of 2024, more than 117 million people worldwide have been forcibly displaced due to conflict, persecution, natural disasters, and economic instability — the highest number in recorded history, according to the UNHCR. Refugee crises no longer affect only conflict zones or neighboring countries; they are global phenomena that reshape fiscal policy, labor markets, and international relations.

For the Economic and Financial Affairs Council (ECOFIN), the refugee crisis poses both an ethical and financial dilemma. Host countries must balance their humanitarian responsibilities with fiscal sustainability. The integration of large refugee populations requires substantial investments in public infrastructure, healthcare, education, housing, and social welfare systems. Meanwhile, donor nations and international institutions are under growing pressure to provide financial assistance while facing their own economic constraints. The refugee question has thus evolved from a purely humanitarian concern into a complex financial and macroeconomic issue — one that demands coordinated, equitable, and sustainable solutions.

6.2 Historical Background of Refugee Crises

The financial dimensions of refugee crises have evolved alongside major geopolitical and economic events. After World War II, Europe faced an unprecedented wave of displacement, leading to the 1951 Refugee Convention and the 1967 Protocol, which established the international legal definition of “refugee” and enshrined the principle of non-refoulement the prohibition of returning individuals to places where they may face persecution.

During the Cold War, displacement was often politically motivated, with refugees viewed as ideological assets by competing blocs. The 1990s witnessed new challenges as conflicts in the Balkans, Rwanda, and the Middle East produced millions of refugees. Developing host countries, particularly in Africa and Asia, began to shoulder disproportionate burdens, often without sufficient international aid or financial mechanisms to sustain long-term integration.

The early 2000s and 2010s brought large-scale displacement from Iraq, Afghanistan, and especially Syria, whose civil war alone created over 6 million refugees, many hosted by neighbouring states such as Türkiye, Lebanon, and Jordan. These crises revealed the severe fiscal strain that host countries endure when absorbing large populations over extended periods.

More recently, the 2015 European migration crisis and the 2022 war in Ukraine tested the capacity of international financial institutions and regional solidarity mechanisms. In Southern Europe, the influx of refugees combined with post-crisis austerity policies placed additional pressure on economies already struggling with youth unemployment and debt.

In response, the UN and global financial institutions began integrating displacement management into development frameworks. The Comprehensive Refugee Response Framework (CRRF) and the Global Compact on Refugees (2018) represent significant milestones, linking humanitarian aid with macroeconomic planning and promoting innovative financing such as concessional loans and refugee bonds. These efforts reflect a shift from viewing refugee crises as short-term emergencies toward addressing them as long-term economic and social challenges requiring sustainable international cooperation.

6.3 Current Relevance

Today, the refugee crisis intersects several global trends — economic slowdown, climate change, political instability, and demographic imbalance. The financial burden manifests through both direct and indirect costs. Direct costs include public expenditures on emergency relief, housing, healthcare, and education for refugees. Indirect costs stem from inflationary pressure, competition for local jobs, and strain on infrastructure and public services.

However, the global narrative is not solely negative. When properly managed, refugees can contribute to host economies through entrepreneurship, labor participation, and cultural diversification. Yet, realizing these benefits requires significant upfront

investment in integration programs, education, and financial inclusion — costs that many low and middle-income host countries cannot easily bear.

In this context, ECOFIN plays a vital role in shaping financial coordination mechanisms that ensure equitable responsibility-sharing. It can encourage the use of refugee bonds, development-linked loans, and multilateral funding schemes designed to support both immediate humanitarian needs and long-term economic development.

6.4 Key Issues

The financial burden of refugee crises is multifaceted and deeply interconnected with global inequalities.

1. Fiscal Pressure and Public Debt:

Host countries, particularly in the Global South, face mounting fiscal deficits as they divert limited resources toward refugee support. Public spending on healthcare, education, and infrastructure increases dramatically, often without corresponding foreign aid inflows.

2. Unequal Burden-Sharing:

While developing nations host approximately 75% of the world's refugees, developed countries contribute the majority of international funding. This imbalance raises questions of fairness, sustainability, and shared responsibility.

1. Limited Access to Employment and Education:

Restrictive labor policies often prevent refugees from entering the workforce, limiting their economic contribution and increasing long-term dependency on aid. Similarly, gaps in educational access hinder the development of human capital that could benefit both refugees and host societies.

2. Social and Political Strain:

Influxes of refugees can fuel social tensions, particularly in regions with high unemployment or fragile political systems. If unmanaged, these tensions may erode social cohesion and destabilize local economies.

3. Climate and Future Displacement:

Climate-induced migration is expected to grow substantially in coming decades, potentially overwhelming financial systems not designed for long-term displacement management.

6.5 Importance for MEDCs and LEDCs

For MEDCs, refugee inflows raise policy debates over fiscal priorities, border management, and social integration. While these countries often have stronger economies and institutional capacity, political resistance and integration costs remain significant. Nonetheless, with aging populations and shrinking workforces, MEDCs could benefit economically from effective refugee labor integration.

For LEDCs, the situation is more urgent. Many low-income states host large refugee populations despite limited resources. Countries such as Turkey, Uganda, and Pakistan have absorbed millions of refugees, spending billions of dollars annually on humanitarian assistance, infrastructure, and education.

Without sufficient international support, these expenditures crowd out investment in domestic priorities like youth employment, healthcare, and economic growth.

6.6 Key Stakeholders & Interests

The refugee crisis involves a complex network of actors with overlapping economic, political, and humanitarian interests.

Host Countries: Nations such as Turkey, Lebanon, Jordan, Uganda, and Germany host millions of refugees and carry substantial fiscal and infrastructural pressures. Their primary goals include securing international funding, strengthening local resilience, and ensuring that hosting responsibilities are equitably shared.

Donor Countries: High-income states and regional blocs (e.g., the United States, Japan, and the European Union) often finance humanitarian and development programs. However, their focus is on accountability, controlled spending, and maintaining domestic political support for aid budgets.

Refugee-Producing Countries: States affected by conflict or instability (e.g., Syria, Afghanistan, Sudan) seek stabilization, reconstruction, and international assistance to reduce forced migration.

International Institutions: The UNHCR, IMF, World Bank, and IOM coordinate financial and policy frameworks to assist both refugees and host states, often linking humanitarian response with macroeconomic support.

Private Sector and NGOs: These actors contribute to education, job creation, and microfinance for refugees, helping to ease public expenditure and promote long-term integration.

6.7 Financial Mechanisms & Case Studies

Financial Mechanisms

Global efforts to manage the economic strain of refugee crises rely on a mix of traditional aid and innovative financing instruments:

Humanitarian and Development Aid: Short-term relief combined with long-term infrastructure and education investments.

Concessional Loans and Grants: The World Bank's IDA and IMF's concessional financing provide soft loans to refugee-hosting states.

Refugee Bonds and Blended Finance: Public-private partnerships (PPPs) and impact-investment models fund refugee livelihoods while reducing government debt pressure.

Debt-for-Development Swaps: Debt relief agreements tied to refugee integration or education programs.

Case Studies

EU–Turkey Statement (2016): A €6 billion package supporting refugee education and health in exchange for border control and migration management, illustrating conditional aid.

Uganda's Refugee Model: Grants refugees' access to land, education, and work, demonstrating how inclusive policies can stimulate local economies.

Lebanon and Jordan: Facing long-term fiscal stress and limited absorption capacity, both countries depend heavily on donor pledges, highlighting challenges of sustainability.

Ukraine Crisis (2022–): Rapid mobilization of EU funds and activation of the Temporary Protection Directive showcase effective regional burden-sharing.

6.8 Previous International Action

• The 1951 Refugee Convention and 1967 Protocol

The 1951 Convention Relating to the Status of Refugees, followed by the 1967 Protocol, established the core legal definition of “refugee” and enshrined the principle of non-refoulement — the prohibition of returning refugees to places where they may face persecution.

While these documents remain the cornerstone of international refugee protection, they primarily focus on legal rights and state obligations, not on financial responsibilities or

burden-sharing mechanisms. As a result, while refugee rights became codified, the economic cost of hosting and integrating refugees remained largely the responsibility of individual states.

This gap between humanitarian obligation and fiscal capacity became increasingly visible during the large-scale crises of the late 20th and early 21st centuries, including conflicts in the Balkans, the Middle East, and Sub-Saharan Africa.

- **The UNHCR and the Rise of Development-Oriented Approaches**
Established in 1950, the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) initially operated as an emergency relief agency.

Over time, however, UNHCR began promoting more development-oriented approaches, working closely with the UN Development Program (UNDP), UNICEF, and the World Bank to integrate refugees into national systems rather than isolating them in temporary camps.

In the 1980s and 1990s, the focus shifted toward self-reliance and local integration as part of long-term solutions, particularly in Africa and Asia. However, these efforts often lacked sufficient financial backing and coordination with macroeconomic institutions such as the IMF and World Bank, leading to fragmented outcomes.

- **The Comprehensive Refugee Response Framework (CRRF)**

Adopted in 2016 as part of the New York Declaration for Refugees and Migrants, the CRRF represented a turning point in global refugee governance. For the first time, it explicitly recognized that refugee crises are not only humanitarian emergencies but also development and financial challenges requiring systemic, multi-actor responses.

The CRRF emphasized:

- Supporting host communities alongside refugees,
- Expanding access to education and livelihoods,
- Encouraging private sector involvement, and Building sustainable financing mechanisms.

It sought to move away from short-term aid dependency toward resilience- building and economic inclusion. Many pilot programs in Uganda, Ethiopia, and Jordan demonstrated that integrating refugees into national labor markets could stimulate growth rather than hinder it.

- **The Global Compact on Refugees (GCR) – 2018**

The Global Compact on Refugees, endorsed by the UN General Assembly in 2018, institutionalized the CRRF principles within an actionable global framework. It introduced two key instruments of interest:

The Global Refugee Forum held every four years to review commitments and financing pledges.

Global Compact's Support Platforms, which mobilize political, technical, and financial assistance for major host countries.

The GCR reaffirmed the principle of predictable and equitable burden-sharing, calling for stronger cooperation between humanitarian and development actors. Importantly, it also promoted innovative financing solutions such as concessional lending, impact investing, and public–private partnerships to help host countries maintain fiscal stability.

- **IMF and World Bank Initiatives**

Recognizing the economic dimension of forced displacement, international financial institutions (IFIs) have increasingly integrated refugee concerns into their operations.

The World Bank's International Development Association (IDA) established a Refugee Sub-Window in 2017, offering concessional financing to low-income countries hosting large refugee populations. By 2023, this facility had allocated over USD 3 billion in grants and low-interest loans to countries such as Uganda, Bangladesh, and Chad.

The Global Concessional Financing Facility (GCFF), launched jointly by the World Bank, UN, and Islamic Development Bank in 2016, supports middle-income countries like Jordan and Lebanon—both major hosts of Syrian refugees—through blended finance mechanisms.

The IMF has provided macroeconomic policy guidance and emergency funding through its Rapid Credit Facility and Poverty Reduction and Growth Trust (PRGT) to stabilize economies under refugee-induced stress.

These institutions are increasingly collaborating with UNHCR and donor governments to align humanitarian relief with fiscal sustainability, ensuring that refugee-hosting does not lead to long-term debt burdens or social instability.

- **Regional and Bilateral Frameworks**

Regional organizations have also taken action:

The European Union's Trust Fund for Africa (EUTF) and EU Facility for Refugees in Turkey (FRIT) have collectively mobilized over €10 billion to manage migration, support host communities, and strengthen border management.

The African Union and IGAD (Intergovernmental Authority on Development) have led initiatives like the Nairobi Declaration on Durable Solutions (2017), emphasizing local integration and regional development cooperation.

The Arab Monetary Fund, Asian Development Bank (ADB), and Islamic Development Bank (IsDB) have increasingly supported displaced populations through livelihood and infrastructure investments.

These frameworks highlight the growing recognition that refugee crises are regional as well as global economic challenges, requiring sustained fiscal collaboration and inclusive policy design.

- **Ongoing Gaps and Challenges**

Despite these advancements, international action remains uneven. Funding commitments often fall short of pledges, with humanitarian appeals consistently underfunded by 30–40%. Host states, especially in the Global South, continue to bear disproportionate financial responsibility despite limited fiscal space.

Moreover, many global mechanisms remain voluntary and non-binding, leaving implementation dependent on political will. The lack of coordination between humanitarian, financial, and trade institutions further complicates resource allocation. For ECOFIN, this represents both a challenge and an opportunity: to design sustainable, predictable, and fair financing models that ensure refugees are not viewed as a fiscal burden but as contributors to economic development.

6.9 Debate Dimensions & Ethical Dilemmas

Refugee crises generate multifaceted debates that combine economics, ethics, and international responsibility.

Aid vs. Development: Should funding prioritize immediate humanitarian needs or long-term socio-economic integration?

Conditional Funding: Is linking aid to migration control legitimate burden-sharing or a violation of humanitarian principles?

Sovereignty vs. Solidarity: To what extent should international institutions influence national policies on refugee management?

Integration and Labor Rights: How can refugees be integrated into host labor markets without worsening domestic unemployment?

Equity in Burden-Sharing: Should financial responsibilities be distributed based on GDP, geography, or moral obligation?

6.10 Country Stances

Country	General Position	Country Stance
United States	Supports selective burden-sharing and financial aid	The U.S. supports international burden-sharing through UNHCR funding but prioritizes security and domestic job protection. It encourages private sector involvement and regional stability investments.
United Kingdom	Advocates shared European responsibility	The UK promotes balanced refugee resettlement and financial support through the IMF and World Bank, focusing on stabilizing source countries.
France	Supports EU solidarity mechanisms	France calls for an expanded EU Refugee Fund and stresses the need for coordinated financial support to frontline states and refugee education initiatives.
Germany	Leads EU refugee funding efforts	Germany supports equitable cost-sharing within the EU and promotes integration-focused education-finance programs for refugees.
Italy	Urges increased EU and global support	Italy, heavily affected by migration, calls for greater international funding for refugee-hosting nations and emphasizes burden-sharing across Europe.
Spain	Focuses on Mediterranean cooperation	Spain supports regional coordination and economic aid for countries managing migration flows, linking refugee integration with labor market reform.
	Advocates humanitarian leadership	Sweden promotes generous refugee financing through

Sweden		multilateral channels and emphasizes education and employment access for displaced youth.
Turkey	Seeks financial compensation for hosting refugees	Turkey, hosting millions of refugees, demands stronger international funding and burden-sharing mechanisms to offset the national financial impact.
Russia	Prefers regional stability over refugee resettlement	Russia supports financial aid to stabilize source regions and rejects large-scale refugee intake, preferring bilateral economic solutions.
China	Supports development-based solutions	China advocates for investment in infrastructure and education in refugee-origin countries, emphasizing sovereignty and economic cooperation.
India	Focuses on humanitarian aid and regional stability	India supports international aid to manage refugee crises in neighboring regions but prioritizes national sovereignty in policy design.
Japan	Provides financial rather than resettlement support	Japan contributes major financial aid to UNHCR and supports economic development programs that reduce displacement drivers.
South Korea	Emphasizes humanitarian aid and innovation	South Korea supports financial mechanisms for refugee education and self-reliance, aligning with its global humanitarian strategy.
Nigeria	Calls for equitable global financing	Nigeria supports financial aid for refugee-hosting African nations and urges debt relief to ease fiscal pressure caused by displacement.
South Africa	Prioritizes domestic stability and African solidarity	South Africa supports regional funding through the African Union and seeks global assistance to

		handle migration-related costs.
Egypt	Requests increased global aid	Egypt emphasizes the financial strain of hosting refugees and calls for larger contributions from MEDCs through IMF and World Bank channels.
Brazil	Advocates shared global responsibility	Brazil supports collective financing under UN frameworks and regional cooperation for refugee integration through education and employment.
Mexico	Emphasizes regional migration management	Mexico calls for international funding to address Central American refugee flows and enhance regional economic resilience.
Canada	Promotes multilateral refugee financing	Canada supports UNHCR and World Bank programs, focusing on education and job integration for refugees as tools for long-term stability.
Australia	Supports regional burden-sharing	Australia funds regional refugee programs in the Indo-Pacific and promotes economic reintegration of displaced populations.

6.11 Questions to Ponder

- How can ECOFIN promote fairer financial burden-sharing between host and donor nations?
- What innovative financing models (e.g., refugee bonds, public-private partnerships) can strengthen the long-term economic sustainability of refugee-hosting countries?
- How can education and employment programs for refugees be designed to complement, rather than compete with, domestic labor markets?
- In what ways can international cooperation reduce the root causes of displacement, including economic instability and youth unemployment?
- How should global financial institutions integrate humanitarian financing into broader development frameworks?

• Bibliography

International Labor Organization (ILO). (2023). *Global Employment Trends for Youth 2023*. Geneva: International Labor Office.

World Bank. (2022). *World Development Report 2022: Jobs and Skills*. Washington, DC: World Bank.

Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). (2023). *Youth Unemployment and Policy Responses*. Paris: OECD Publishing.

United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs (UN DESA). (2023). *World Social Report 2023: Leaving No One Behind in an Age of Uncertainty*. New York: United Nations.

United Nations Development Program (UNDP). (2022). *Youth and Human Development: The Brain Drain Dilemma*. New York: UNDP.

United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR). (2024). *Global Trends: Forced Displacement in 2024*. Geneva: UNHCR.

International Monetary Fund (IMF). (2023). *World Economic Outlook: Navigating Divergent Recoveries*. Washington, DC: IMF.

World Bank Group & United Nations. (2016). *Global Concessional Financing Facility: Supporting Middle-Income Countries Hosting Refugees*. Washington, DC: World Bank.

World Bank. (2017). *IDA18 Refugee Sub-Window: Helping Countries Host Refugees and Support Communities*. Washington, DC: World Bank.

European Union (EU). (2016). *EU–Turkey Statement: Facility for Refugees in Turkey*. Brussels: European Commission.

Intergovernmental Authority on Development (IGAD). (2017). *Nairobi Declaration on Durable Solutions for Somali Refugees and Reintegration of Returnees in Somalia*. Nairobi: IGAD.

United Nations General Assembly. (2018). *Global Compact on Refugees*. A/RES/73/151. New York: United Nations.